11/03/2004 16:57 FAX 7037612375

### LAW OFFICES OF

## McGINN & GIBB, PLLC

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 0 3 2004

A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY
PATENTS, TRADEMARKS, COPYRIGHTS, AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 200

VIENNA, VIRGINIA 22182-3817 TELEPHONE: (703) 761-4100 FACSIMILE/DATA: (703) 761-2375; 761-2376

E-MAIL: MCGINNGIBB @ AOL.COM

SEAN M. MCGINN
PHILLIP E. MILLERT
FREDERICK E. COOPERRIDERT
JAMES E. HOWARDT
JAMES N. DRESSER
JOHN J. DRESCH
SCOTT M. TULINO
TMEMBER OF BAR OTHER THAN VA

ANNAPOLIS, MD OFFICE FREDERICK W. GIBB, III MOHAMMAD S. RAHMANT PAMELA M. RILEYT

November 3, 2004

#### VIA FACSIMILE

To: Examiner Nicole M. Barreca

Group Art Unit: 1756

U.S.P.T.O.

From: James E. Howard

Facsimile No.: (703) 872-9306

Facsimile No.: (703) 761-2375 or 76

Re:

Enclosed Amendment Under 37 CFR §1.116

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/016,628

Docket No.:

SH-0028US

Our Reference:

**RYU.008** 

Dear Examiner Barreca:

Enclosed for filing is an Amendment in response to the Final Office Action mailed on August 11, 2004, which should place the above-referenced case in condition for allowance.

Thank you in advance for your kind consideration on this case.

Very truly yours,

James E. Howard

JEH/geb Enclosures

Total pages transmitted: 14

# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

NOV 0 3 2004

In re Application of

Toru Shirasaki

Serial No.:

10/016,628

Group Art Unit:

1756

Filed:

December 17, 2001

Examiner:

Barreca, Nicole M.

For:

PELLICLE, PHOTOMASK, PELLICLE FRAME, AND METHOD FOR

MANUFACTURING PELLICLE

Honorable Commissioner of Patents

Box AF

Alexandria, VA 22313 - 1450

### AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL REJECTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.116

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated August 11, 2004, please amend the aboveidentified application as follows:

### **INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS**

Claims 1-21 and 23-25 are all the claims presently pending in the application. Claims 1, 5, 14-15, and 19 are amended to more clearly define the invention and claim 22 is canceled.

Claims 1, 14, and 19 are independent.